

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/660,697	09/12/2003	Kevin Andrew Chamness	242662US6YA	7662		
22850	7590 04/17/2006		EXAMINER			
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			WEST, JEFFREY R			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2857			
				DATE MAILED: 04/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/660,697	CHAMNESS, KEVIN ANDREW		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jeffrey R. West	2857		

	Jeffrey R. West	2857	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL	dianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two ments	a af tha data of
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		TE Delow),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	,,,,,,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-42,47 and 48</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>43-46,49 and 50</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an- was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/SB/08 or BTO 1440) Bonor N	vlo(e)	. 11
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(P10/SB/08 of P10-1449) Paper r	No(s)Maw) MARC S. H	Holf
		MARC S. H	HOFF VAMINER
		SUPERVISORY PATE	IN LEVAIMING IN

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3:

The proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 25, 33, and 41 specifying that the centering coefficients are adjusted "at the time of each observation of the additional data" and the proposed amendment to independent claim 19 specifying the adaptive centering coefficient combining values "to produce at each observation of data an updated adaptive centering coefficient", are considered to be new issues that would require additional search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11:

While the proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 19, 25, 33, and 41 will not be entered because they require additional search and/or consideration, the request for reconsideration also does not place the application in condition for allowance because the arguments are drawn to the proposed amendments to claims 1, 19, 25, 33, and 41, and a corresponding amendment has not been made to independent claims 47 and 48.